United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450. Alexandria. Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/801,542	03/17/2004	Mitsuaki Osame	740756-2717	2322
	22204 7590 07/11/2007 NIXON PEABODY, LLP		EXAMINER	
401 9TH STRE	•		SHAPIRO, LEONID	
SUITE 900 WASHINGTON, DC 20004-2128			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2629	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/11/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Astinu Communication	10/801,542	OSAME ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Leonid Shapiro	2629			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.4 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
 1) ⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>01 May 2007</u>. 2a) ⊠ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final. 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is 					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-16 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate			

Art Unit: 2629

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 1. Claim1,4,7,10-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nakamura et al. (US 6,670,773 B2).

As to claims 1,15 Nakamura et al. teaches a display device or a semiconductor device (col. 1, lines 11-17) comprising:

plural groups including a light emitting element and a thin film transistor which is connected to the light emitting element (fig. 2, items 11-13, col. 5, lines 50-57);

wherein an absolute value of a fluctuation rate of an ON current in a saturation region of a first thin film transistor included in a first group of said plural groups and a second thin film transistor included in a second group of said plural groups which is adjacent to the first group is not much experienced (col. 2, lines 37-43 and 51-61).

Nakamura does not disclose value of a fluctuation rate is at most 12%.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention that fluctuation rate which is not much experienced could be at most 12%, because actual rate will depend how much higher is gate potential than the threshold voltage of the transistor (col. 2, lines 37-41 in Nakamura et al. reference).

Art Unit: 2629

As to claim 4, Nakamura et al. teaches a display device (col. 1, lines 11-17) comprising:

plural groups including a thin film transistor and a light emitting element in which brightness is fluctuated depending on an ON current value in a saturation region of a drain voltage-drain current characteristic of the thin film transistor (fig. 2, items 11-13, col. 2, lines 37-43 and col. 5, lines 50-57);

wherein an absolute value of a fluctuation rate of an ON current in a saturation region of a first thin film transistor included in a first group of said plural groups and a second thin film transistor included in a second group of said plural groups which is adjacent to the first group is not much experienced (col. 2, lines 37-43 and 51-61).

Nakamura does not disclose value of a fluctuation rate is at most 12%.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention that fluctuation rate which is not much experienced could be at most 12%, because actual rate will depend how much higher is gate potential than the threshold voltage of the transistor (col. 2, lines 37-41 in Nakamura et al. reference).

As to claim 7, Nakamura et al. teaches a display device (col. 1, lines 11-17) comprising plural pixels including a driving thin film transistor (fig. 3,item 26), a switching thin film transistor (fig. 3,item 26), an erasing thin film transistor (fig. 3,items 12,24), a light emitting element which is connected to the driving thin film transistor (fig. 3,items 11,26, col. 7, lines 35-67);

Art Unit: 2629

wherein brightness is fluctuated depending on an ON current value in a saturation region of a drain voltage-drain current characteristic of the thin film transistor (fig. 2, items 11-13, col. 2, lines 37-43 and col. 5, lines 50-57);

an absolute value of a fluctuation rate of an ON current in a saturation region of a first thin film transistor included in each a first pixel and a second pixel which is adjacent to the first pixel is not much experienced (col. 2, lines 37-43 and 51-61).

Nakamura does not disclose value of a fluctuation rate is at most 12%.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention that fluctuation rate which is not much experienced could be at most 12%, because actual rate will depend how much higher is gate potential than the threshold voltage of the transistor (col. 2, lines 37-41 in Nakamura et al. reference).

As to claim 13, Nakamura et al. teaches a display device (col. 1, lines 11-17) comprising:

plural groups including a light emitting element and a thin film transistor which is connected to the light emitting element (fig. 2, items 11-13, col. 5,lines 50-57);

wherein an absolute value of a fluctuation rate of an ON current in a saturation region of a first thin film transistor included in a first group of said plural groups and a second thin film transistor included in a second group of said plural groups which is adjacent to the first group is not much experienced (col. 2, lines 37-43 and 51-61).

Nakamura does not disclose value of a fluctuation rate is at most 12% and a cellular phone comprising a main body, a display portion, a voice output portion, an operation switch, and an antenna.

Art Unit: 2629

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention that fluctuation rate which is not much experienced could be at most 12%, because actual rate will depend how much higher is gate potential than the threshold voltage of the transistor (col. 2, lines 37-41 in Nakamura et al. reference) and for use in a cellular phone comprising a main body, a display portion, a voice output portion, an operation switch, and an antenna (in reference: "for use in an image display apparatus_ (col. 1, lines 1-2).

As to claims 14-15, Nakamura et al. teaches a display device (col. 1, lines 11-17) comprising:

plural groups including a light emitting element and a thin film transistor which is connected to the light emitting element (fig. 2, items 11-13, col. 5,lines 50-57);

wherein an absolute value of a fluctuation rate of an ON current in a saturation region of a first thin film transistor included in a first group of said plural groups and a second thin film transistor included in a second group of said plural groups which is adjacent to the first group is not much experienced (col. 2, lines 37-43 and 51-61).

Nakamura does not disclose value of a fluctuation rate is at most 12% and a notebook computer comprising a main body, a case, a display portion, and a keyboard.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention that fluctuation rate which is not much experienced could be at most 12%, because actual rate will depend how much higher is gate potential than the threshold voltage of the transistor (col. 2, lines 37-41 in Nakamura et al. reference) and for use in

Art Unit: 2629

notebook computer comprising a main body, a case, a display portion, and a keyboard (in reference : "for use in an image display apparatus" (col. 1, lines 1-2).

Notice, for all independent claims, it generally considered to be within the ordinary skill in the art to adjust, vary, select or optimize the numerical parameters or values of any system absent of showing criticality of in a particular recited value. Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to interchange value of an absolute value of a fluctuation rate of an ON current in a saturation region of a first thin film transistor included in a first group of said plural groups and a second thin film transistor included in a second group of said plural groups which is adjacent to the first group is at most 12%.

Such a limitation would have been considered as obvious variation on the matter of an absolute value of a fluctuation rate of an ON current in a saturation region at most 12%, which fails patentably distinguish over the prior art of Nakamura et al. In re Rose, 105 USPQ 237 (CCPA 1955).

As to claims 10-12,16 Nakamura et al. teaches a display device (col. 1, lines 11-18).

2. Claims 2,5,8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nakamura et al. as applied to claims 2,4,7 above, and further in view of Sivan (US 5,229,310).

Nakamura et al. does not disclose the channel length of the first thin film

Application/Control Number: 10/801,542 Page 7

Art Unit: 2629

transistor and the second thin film transistor is at least 5 times as long as a gate width, respectively.

Sivan teaches that channel length is determined by gate width which can vary considerably (col. 6, lines 45-47).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to incorporate teachings of Sivan into Nakamura et al. system in order to provide channel length control (col. 2, lines 24-26 in Sivan refrence).

3. Claims 3,6,9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nakamura et al. as applied to claims 2,4,7 above, and further in view of Gosain et al. (US 5,953,595).

Nakamura et al. does not disclose first thin film transistor and the second thin film transistor comprises a semiconductor layer which is formed by irradiating with a pulsed laser beam.

Gosain et al. teaches that channel length is determined by gate width which can vary considerably (fig. 8D, col. 11, lines 20-36).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to incorporate teachings of Gosain et al. into Nakamura et al. system in order to manufacture TFT (col. 1, lines 5-8 in Gosain et al. reference).

Response to Arguments

Art Unit: 2629

4. Applicant's arguments filed 05/01/07 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive:

On page 6, last paragraph of Remark, Applicant's stated that Nakamura does not disclose that the absolute value of a fluctuation rate of an ON current is not much experienced in the ease of the saturated region but Nakamura does disclose that the fluctuation is not so much experienced in the case of the **linear** region compared with the ease of the **saturated** area. Therefore, the TFT is used in the linear region in most cases as described by Nakarnura (see, lines 36-41 in column 2). However, as well understood by one ordinary skill in the art the "**linear**" area in Nakamura et al. it is "**saturation**" area, because for the transistor (TFT) the "**saturation**" area is area where the drain voltage becomes constant ("**linear**" in the reference) as a function of the source voltage (see col. 2, lines 37-39 and 51-57 in the Nakamura et al. reference and also see Figs. 4B,5, items 1516,1613,1614 and correspondent text in the Applicant's disclosure).

On page 7, first paragraph of Remark, Applicant's stated that the present invention as claimed focuses on the fluctuation rate of the ON current in the saturation region, which is the opposite of the use of the device of Nakamura. Therefore, Applicants believe that it is not obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time to conceive the present invention from the invention disclosed in Nakamura. However, Nakamura et al. teaches the fluctuation rate of the ON current in the saturation area is not much experienced (col. 2, lines 22-43 and 51-55).

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Telephone Inquire

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Leonid Shapiro whose telephone number is 571-272-7683. The examiner can normally be reached on 8 a.m. to 5 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard Hjerpe can be reached on 571-272-7691. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/801,542 Page 10

Art Unit: 2629

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

LS 06.26.07

RICHARD HJERPE